

REMARKS

There are presently 11 pending claims (claims 1-9 and 11-12). Claim 10 is now cancelled. Claim 1 has been amended to add that the diesel fuel has "a sulfur content of about 0.05 wt% or less", and that the engine operates "without substantial reduction in acceleration".

The first limitation was found in claim 10, now cancelled. The second limitation is supported from the specification, page 2, lines 11-18, page 3, lines 6-13, pages 4, 5 and 6, and Tables 1 and 2.

Claim 1 was also amended to add the term "or less" after "3 cSt" to correct an apparent typographical error. Support for this amendment is found at page 2, line 13, of the specification. The remaining claims were amended to improve their form.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over U.S. 5,976,621 to Barry et al (Barry).

The Examiner stated:

The Barry reference discloses a diesel fuel having a specific gravity typically in the range of 0.82 to 0.83, a viscosity typically in the range of 1.7 to 1.9 cSt at 40°C, and a sulfur content of not greater than 0.1 wt%. One specific example of the fuel has a sulfur content of 0.01%. See column 2, lines 3-10 and 60-67 and col. 4, lines 11-42.

Office Action of March 31, 2003, pages 2-3.

The Examiner admitted that Barry "does not disclose the use of the fuel in a rail fuel system compression ignition engine". Id. at page 3. However, the Examiner suggested that:

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teachings of the Barry reference by utilizing the fuel of Barry in a rail fuel system compression

ignition engine because one would utilize a known diesel fuel in any diesel engine regardless of its specific use and expect the engine to work effectively.

Applicants respectfully disagree. Applicants respectfully submit that it would not have been obvious to utilize the fuel of Barry in a rail fuel system compression ignition engine.

First, it is generally recognized in this art that generally different applications often require different grade fuels having specific performance specifications. For example, Barry states that “[a] number of performance specifications have been established for diesel fuels of different grades depending upon service application”. Barry, column 1, lines 15-17. Barry discloses and claims a fuel diesel composition that exhibits low emissions and some other properties, which is suitable for use in underground diesel-engine mining equipment. Barry does not suggest that the low emissions diesel fuel could be used in common rail fuel system compression ignition engines. Underground diesel-engined mining equipment typically utilize conventional diesel engines while the present invention relates to common rail fuel system compression ignition engines.

Second, prior to the present invention it was generally believed by people skilled in this art, that common rail fuel system compression engines, such as the engine of a Mercedes C220 CDi vehicle, could not be operated with good performance with low density fuels. See generally pages 1, 2 and 3 of the present application. This is because the use of low density diesel fuels in conventional fuel systems diesel engines was expected to reduce engine output and degrade vehicle performance. *Id.* at page 1, line 28 to page 2, line 2. It has been unexpectedly discovered that certain low density diesel fuels having specific density and viscosity ranges, as claimed in the present application, can be used in a common rail fuel system compression ignition engine with satisfactory performance. *Id.* at page 2, lines 11-18, page 3, lines 6-13. The vehicle performance was measured by comparing wide open throttle acceleration in fifth gear between a Mercedes C220 CDi engine (first commercial European common rail diesel vehicle engine) and a conventional diesel engine. *Id.* pages 4, 5 and 6, Tables 1 and 2. Acceleration times were shown to be about the same indicating no performance difference between the tested invented fuels. *Id.*

page 6, lines 3-12. Thus, "operation of common rail diesel engines in diesel fuels of lower density and viscosity, while resulting in a significant reduction in emissions has no significant effect on overall vehicle performance, as determined by acceleration". Id. page 6, lines 14-17.

In conclusion, applicants' invention is directed to a novel method for reducing emissions of common rail fuel system compression ignition engines using a low density diesel fuel with satisfactory engine performance. This is unexpected and contrary to prior teachings. Examiner's suggestion that such a use is obvious is based on improper hindsight and not in any documentary teachings or suggestions.

Moreover, in view of the unexpected results presented in the application, i.e., the engine output and vehicle performance data, the obviousness rejection should be withdrawn and all claims allowed as amended.

For at least the foregoing reasons applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims, as amended.

Respectfully submitted,



George B. Georgellis  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 43,632  
Telephone Number: (908) 730-2263  
Facsimile Number: (908) 730-3649

☒ Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.34(a)

ExxonMobil Research and Engineering Company  
P. O. Box 900  
Annandale, New Jersey 08801-0900

GBG:kak/jdw  
5/23/03